M1.(a) uniform width peaks ✓ (accurate to within ± one division) peaks need to be rounded ie not triangular the minima do not need to be exactly zero

a collection of peaks of constant amplitude or amplitude decreasing away from central peak  $\checkmark$ 

pattern must look symmetrical by eye condone errors towards the edge of the pattern double width centre peak total mark = 0

2

(b) (i) constant / fixed / same phase relationship / difference (and same frequency / wavelength) ✓
 in phase is not enough for the mark

1

(ii) single slit acts as a point / single source diffracting / spreading light to <u>both slits</u> ✓
 OR the path lengths between the single slit and the double slits are constant / the same / fixed ✓

1

(iii) <u>superposition</u> of waves from two slits ✓ phrase 'constructive superposition' = 2 marks

diffraction (patterns) from both slits overlap (and interfere constructively) ✓ (this mark may come from a diagram)

constructive interference / reinforcement (at bright fringe) peaks meet peaks / troughs meet troughs ✓ (any reference to antinode will lose this mark)

waves from each slit meet in phase OR path difference =  $n \lambda \checkmark$ 

4 max 3

(c) (i)  $D = \frac{ws}{\lambda} = \frac{0.004 \times 5.010^{-5}}{405 \times 10^{-9}}$   $\checkmark$  do not penalise any incorrect powers

## of ten for this mark

= 0.5 (m) ✓ (0.4938 m)

numbers can be substituted into the equation using any form note 0.50 m is wrong because of a rounding error full marks available for answer only

 (ii) fringes further apart or fringe / pattern has a greater width / is wider ✓ ignore any incorrect reasoning changes to green is not enough for mark

(iii) increase D ✓
 measure across more than 2 maxima ✓
 several / few implies more than two

added detail which includes  $\checkmark$ explaining that when *D* is increased then *w* increases Or repeat the reading with a changed distance *D* or using different numbers of fringes or measuring across different pairs of (adjacent) fringes Or explaining how either of the first two points improves / reduces the percentage error.

no mark for darkened room

1

1

1

1

2

1

**M2.**(a) 2.9% ✓

Allow 3%

4

0.29 mm or 2.9 x  $10^4$  m  $\checkmark$  must see 2 sf only

(c) ± 0.01 mm ✓

PhysicsAndMathsTutor.com

(d)	Clear indication that at least 10 spaces have been measured to give a spacing = 5.24 mm ✓ spacing from at least 10 spaces Allow answer within range ±0.05	1
(e)	Substitution in $d \sin\theta = n\lambda \checkmark$ The 25 spaces could appear here as <i>n</i> with sin $\theta$ as 0.135 / 2.5	1
	<i>d</i> = 0.300 x 10 <sup>3</sup> m so number of lines = 3.34 x10 <sup>3</sup> ✓ <i>Condone error in powers of 10 in substitution</i> <i>Allow ecf from 1-4 value of spacing</i>	1
(f)	Calculates % difference (4.6%) ✓	1
	and makes judgement concerning agreement ✓ Allow ecf from 1-5 value	1
(g)	care not to look directly into the laser beam ✓ OR care to avoid possibility of reflected laser beam ✓ OR warning signs that laser is in use outside the laboratory ✓ ANY ONE	1 [10]

**M3.**C

M4.(a) Suitable experiment eg diffraction through a door / out of a pipe 🗸

- (b) Using c = d / t
  - t = 2 500 / 480 = 5.2 s ✓
- (c) (Measured time is difference between time taken by light and time taken by sound)

Calculation assumes that light takes no time to reach observer, ie speed is infinite  $\checkmark$ 

Do not allow "could not know speed of light"

(d) Sound from gun is a mixture of frequencies. ✓ Alternative for 1<sup>st</sup> mark '(so speed is independent of frequency) the sound of the gun is similar when close and far away'

All the sound reaches observer at the same time,  $\checkmark$ 

- (e) More accurate, as it is closer to the accepted value.  $\checkmark$
- (f) When  $\theta = 0 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$  c = 331.29 m s<sup>-1</sup>

Therefore

331.29 = k  $\sqrt{273.15}$  🖌

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

	(g)	The method and value are published $\checkmark$	1
		other scientists repeat the experiment using the same method $\checkmark$	1 [10]
М5.	D		[1]
M6.	A		[1]
<b>M7.</b> (a)	(ana cher	of: ectral) analysis of light from stars alyse) composition of stars emical analysis asuring red shift \ rotation of stars 🖌	
		insufficient answers: 'observe spectra', 'spectroscopy', 'view absorption \ emission spectrum', 'compare spectra', 'look at light from stars'. <i>Allow : measuring wavelength or frequency from a <u>named</u> <u>source</u> of light <i>Allow any other legitimate application that specifies the</i> <i>source of light. E.g.</i> <i>absorbtion \ emission spectra in stars,</i> 'observe spectra of materials'</i>	1
	(b)	<ul> <li>(i) first order beam first order spectrum first order image</li> <li>✓</li> <li>Allow 'n = 1', '1', 'one', 1<sup>st</sup></li> </ul>	1

- the light at A will appear white (and at B there will be a spectrum) OR greater intensity at A ✓
- (c)  $(d = 1 / (\text{lines per mm} \times 10^3))$ = 6.757 × 10<sup>-7</sup> (m) OR 6.757 × 10<sup>-4</sup> (mm)  $\checkmark$

 $(n\lambda = d \sin \theta)$ = 6.757 × 10<sup>-7</sup> × sin 51.0  $\checkmark$  ecf **only** for :

- incorrect power of ten in otherwise correct calculation of d
- use of d = 1480, 1.48, 14.8 (etc)
- from incorrect order in bii

= 5.25 × 10<sup>-7</sup> (m) ✓ ecf **only** for :

- · incorrect power of ten in otherwise correct d
- from incorrect order in bii

Some working required for full marks. Correct answer only gets 2 Power of 10 error in d gets max 2 For use of d in mm, answer =

- 5.25 × 10<sup>-₄</sup> gets max 2
- n = 2 gets max 2 unless ecf from bii
- use of d = 1480 yields wavelength of 1150m

1

(d)  $n = d (sin90) / \lambda$  OR  $n = 6.757 \times 10^{-7} / 5.25 \times 10^{-7} \checkmark$  ecf both numbers from c

= 1.29 so <u>no more</u> beams observed  $\checkmark$  or answer consistent with their working

## OR

2 = d (sin $\theta$ ) /  $\lambda$  OR sin $\theta$  = 2 × 5.25 × 10<sup>-7</sup> / 6.757 × 10<sup>-7</sup>  $\checkmark$  ecf both numbers from c

 $\sin\theta = 1.55$  (so not possible to calculate angle) so <u>no more</u> beams  $\checkmark$ 

OR sin<sup>-1</sup>(2 × (their λ / their d)) ✓ (not possible to calculate) so <u>no more</u> beams ✓ ecf *Accept 1.28, 1.3 Second line gets both marks* 

Conclusion consistent with working

2